Dear Jon, 6/28/74

Per your 6/25, herewith WW II. Thanks.

I've skimmed and appreciate the enclosed clips. I'll read them when I've time. I'm under real pressure because I'm working on three books at once, each with a rush, one with a possibility of publication, plus the normal stuff, including the Ray case.

For your understanding, the question and liability with Sprague is not as much the alleged fact as the interpretation. There is also the question of fact. But he also take the real and makes it unreal. Too bad, because personally he is a very nice guy.

WHR can be William H Ruckelshaus, who was then ~~criminal~~ civil division head and their end of my suits are in that division.

I know of Agnew donation to U Md and have not had time to try for it myself. I have asked several who have been rebuffed. I've asked a college prof. to ask the legal basis for the right to withhold what becomes state property.

On the book of which well-intentioned but ill-advised Don spoke to you, I'm giving it what Pat Gray called the Full Court Press but have not yet arranged financing. Don spoke to others than you and if you have any influence on them please try to keep them silent. My analysis has been confirmed by moves the Watergaters have made in court that point this way and others have no way of understanding. The need here is more than to get what I have out and do with it what I can that others can't. It is urgently to do it in a way that frustrates the ploy that has to be a conspiracy of if they move first, not to give them an out from what would be irrefutable proof of a conspiracy and could today be both a deciding anti-Nixon factor plus terrible self-destruction in court by his honchos. I know this sounds crytpic.

It may also sound questionable when I say that almost all those from whom you can hear and to whom Don would talk are underinformed and have records of conspicuously bad judgement. This is quite separate from their intentions and their personalities. The are dedicated and they are decent, concerned people. These qualities are not enough to make them right and not in any way relevant to the harm done in the past and probable with this.

Marchetti has never said what Sprague says he said. These nut quote each other as unquestionable sources. I believe it was invented By a Boston underground. FYI only, Marchetti is my source on this. This is one of countless illustrations of the permeating incapacity, to tell it is it really is. Sprague is, unfortunately, in the majority.

Hasty best,